OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY & BROITMAN P.C.

570 Lexington Avenue, 17th Floor NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022-6894 TEL: (212) 681-0600 FAX: (212) 681-0300

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE: October 17, 2007

TO: USPTO Refund Department

FAX NO.: (571) 273-6500

FROM: Ostrager Chong Flaherty & Broitman P.C.

Re: Deposit account no. 503195 refund request

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCL. THIS SHEET): 3 . IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES OF THE INTENDED TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CALL THE SENDER AT(212)681-0600.

MESSAGE: Our above referenced deposit account was charged \$790.00 in error.

Attached you will find a "Notice of Abandonment" which states the error that
was made by the USPTO. The USPTO mistakenly referred our submission as an

RCE. We are requesting the \$790.00 be refunded back into our deposit account.

Thank you,

OCF&B



United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States department of COMMerce United States Pulci and Transport Office Action Commissioners for Patents P.D. Com M.D. Abuging Vigold 2011-1689

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	08/25/2000	Jestrey J. Gold	200223	6089
OSTRAGER	chong flaherty	STEVENS, THOMAS H		
250 PARK AVENUE, SUITE 825 NEW YORK, NY 10177			AUT UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3123	
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C. 17. 18 20 10 10

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
Notice of Abandonment	09/845,896 Engminer	GOLD, JEFFREY J.			
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123			
— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet জাটা টাত correspondence address—					
This <i>app</i> lication is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the C (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for raply (including a total extension of time	of Mailing or Transmission dated of month(6)) which expired o	n			
(b) A proposed reply was raceived on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which pieces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper raply, or a bone fide attempt at a proper raply, to the non- final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) No reply has been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the melting date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of					
Allowance (PTOL-85).	lean of C In duo	1			
(b) The submitted fee of 6 is incufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's fallure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Cartificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is					
after the expiration of the poriod for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		•			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signod by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. 🔯 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 					
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:					
The express abandonment was received on 5/26/06. A continuation in part was submitted on 5/11/06 which the Office mistakenty entered as a request for continued examination which initiated a non-final office action dated 8/7/06. In light of the express amandonment, the Office retracts the 8/7/06 non-final office action.					
PAUL COORIGIET SILVER					
Petitiono lo revivo undor 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requesto to	in the holding of phandowners with	- MIL HOUSE HELDER			
minimize any negative effects on potent term.	stice of Abandonment	Part of Peper No. 8/10/05			

United States Patent and Trademark Office
- Sales Receipt -

05/26X2006 RBROWN1 00000001 503195 09645896

01 FC:1801 790.00 DA

Adjustment date: 11/07/2007 SFELEKE1 05/26/2006 RBROWN1 00000001 503195 09645896 01 FC:1801 790.00 CR